IJCRT.ORG ISSN : 2320-2882

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

The Superwoman Paradox: An Autoethonographic Inquiry Into Gendered Expectations, Emotional Labor, And Identity Perforemance In Academia And Beyond

Dr. Pranjuri Goswami
Independent Researcher | Educator | Social Work Practitioner

Abstract: This paper offers an autoethnographic exploration of the embodied contradictions experienced by women navigating multiple socio-professional roles within patriarchal systems. Drawing from personal narratives of academic labor, entrepreneurship, caregiving, and emotional regulation, the author reflects on the internalized pressures to perform as a "superwoman" in both public and private domains. Anchored in feminist theory, empowerment discourse, and emotional labor scholarship, the article underscores how self-reflection can serve as valid empirical inquiry into gendered subjectivities and sociocultural expectations. The piece contributes to conversations in gender studies, social work, and mental health advocacy, while calling for structural and cultural change in how women's labor is valued and sustained, including the crucial role of male allies in fostering equitable ecosystems.

Index Terms: Autoethnography, Superwoman Syndrome, Gender and Identity, Emotional Labor, Feminist Social Work, Reflexivity, Empowerment, Men as Allies

Introduction

Women in professional spaces often navigate dual burdens: the formal labor they perform and the emotional labor required to sustain acceptance within patriarchal expectations. This paper emerges from my lived contradictions — as a teacher, scholar, daughter, entrepreneur, and caregiver — and seeks to critically examine the ways I have internalized and performed the identity of a "superwoman."

The Indian academic and professional landscape, like many others globally, is shaped by deep- rooted gender hierarchies. Women are often celebrated for their resilience yet burdened with unrealistic standards of perfection, both at home and in the workplace. These dual expectations are intensified in professions like education and social work, where care and emotional presence are implicitly required but rarely acknowledged or compensated.

Using autoethnography as a methodological and political choice, I center my embodied experiences as both data and analysis. This inquiry is not merely reflective but calls into question the systems that render burnout invisible, emotional labor expected, and silence mistaken for strength. The paper also explores the potential for change when men consciously support women's empowerment, both within personal and institutional relationships. By situating my own story within broader sociocultural patterns, I aim to contribute to a collective feminist praxis that challenges invisibilized labor and promotes relational equity.

Using autoethnography as a methodological and political choice, I center my embodied experiences as both data and analysis. This inquiry is not merely reflective but calls into question the systems that render burnout invisible, emotional labor expected, and silence mistaken for strength. The paper also explores the potential for change when men consciously support women's empowerment, both within personal and institutional relationships.

Theoretical Framework

This article draws upon feminist theories of gender performativity, emotional labor, empowerment, intersectionality, and care ethics. These frameworks help uncover how deeply entrenched patriarchal expectations shape women's identities and practices in professional and domestic spaces.

Judith Butler's (1990) theory of gender performativity posits that gender is not an innate quality but a series of repeated behaviors and performances shaped by cultural norms. In my context, the performance of being a 'competent academic,' 'nurturing daughter,' and 'efficient businesswoman' reflects how gendered roles are rehearsed under constant societal surveillance.

Arlie Hochschild's (1983) concept of emotional labor describes the process of managing feelings and expressions to fulfill the emotional demands of a role. In academia and social work, this invisible labor is often feminized and unacknowledged. Through my autoethnographic lens, I reflect on how this labor leads to emotional depletion and chronic guilt, especially when undervalued or unsupported.

Empowerment theory (Perkins & Zimmerman, 1995) emphasizes the importance of gaining control over one's life and the transformation of systemic barriers. In my narrative, empowerment is not just about individual success but about collective change, shared responsibility, and the recognition of emotional labor as a valid contribution.

Intersectionality, introduced by Kimberlé Crenshaw (1989), offers a critical framework to analyze how multiple identities — such as gender, profession, and social location — intersect to shape unique experiences of oppression or privilege. As an Indian woman navigating academic, entrepreneurial, and caregiving domains, intersectionality helps articulate the overlapping demands that complicate my reality.

Joan Tronto's (1993) ethics of care further guides the moral underpinning of this study. It centers care as a political act, advocating for relational accountability and the redistribution of emotional labor. This resonates with the findings of my study, where relational allyship becomes a key site for resistance and healing.

Together, these theories illuminate the structural and cultural forces that sustain gendered labor, while offering a roadmap toward feminist transformation and empowered identity negotiation. Additionally, the autoethnographic tradition (Ellis et al., 2011) informs the epistemological stance of this work: that personal experience is not only valid knowledge but essential for disrupting dominant academic norms. Empowerment theory further emphasizes not only individual agency, but the transformation of structural barriers, highlighting how the support of male colleagues, partners, and mentors can foster environments of shared responsibility and mutual growth.

Methodology:

Autoethnography as a Tool of Liberation Autoethnography allows the researcher to examine cultural phenomena through the lens of personal experience. This approach blends autobiography with ethnographic method, prioritizing the researcher's subjective experience as a valid form of data and analysis. It aligns with feminist epistemology, which values situated knowledge and challenges positivist hierarchies that marginalize emotion and reflexivity.

For this study, I employed multiple autoethnographic techniques over the course of twelve months. These included reflective journaling, memory work, emotional mapping, audio recordings, and unstructured voice memos captured during daily experiences. I documented thoughts and emotions following academic meetings, caregiving responsibilities, entrepreneurial tasks, and family interactions. These reflections were both spontaneous and prompted by critical incidents — moments where I felt conflict, tension, or revelation about my gendered roles.

Data was revisited through multiple readings and organized thematically. Thematic coding involved identifying recurring motifs such as guilt, competence performance, exhaustion, invisibility, and allyship. I used color-coded annotations and emotion wheels to capture affective nuances within each entry. Importantly, the goal was not objectivity or generalizability, but depth, resonance, and meaning-making.

I also engaged in peer reflexivity by discussing emerging insights with trusted feminist colleagues and mentors. These conversations enriched my interpretation and ensured that my reflections were not insular but dialogic and critically engaged. Ethically, I maintained anonymity for any individuals mentioned indirectly and sought verbal consent when drawing upon shared conversations. Given the deeply personal nature of this inquiry, I also practiced self-care rituals after intense writing sessions and set emotional boundaries during revisitations of emotionally charged memories.

Ultimately, the autoethnographic method allowed me to transform embodied contradictions into analytical insight. It offered not just a methodology but a feminist praxis — reclaiming the personal as political, and the emotional as intellectual. The writing process itself became analytical — moments of emotional fatigue, guilt, or silence were examined not as failings, but as entries into deeper understanding. This iterative cycle of writing, rereading, and reflecting is consistent with narrative inquiry methods.

Findings:

Drawing from both my professional journey and doctoral research on women employees in India's hydrocarbon public sector units (PSUs), this section presents a deeper narrative inquiry into the gendered realities of work-life balance, emotional labor, and systemic role expectations. Living Contradictions and Invisible Labor Drawing from both my professional journey and doctoral research on women employees in India's hydrocarbon public sector units (PSUs), this section presents a deeper narrative inquiry into the gendered realities of work-life balance, emotional labor, and systemic role expectations.

4.1 The Performance of Competence

Each day began at 9:30 a.m., marked by lectures, mentoring sessions, and academic responsibilities. Evenings transitioned into business operations — from checking orders to financial planning. Nights were for emotional labor: comforting elders, supporting students, and processing daily anxieties. This multitasking reinforced societal expectations that women must excel effortlessly in every role they occupy.

In my research among women in ONGC and OIL India Ltd., I discovered a pattern of overextension. One participant shared, "Even when I meet my targets, I'm still expected to plan family gatherings or assist with schoolwork at home." This echoes my own experience — the pressure to remain available and emotionally present in all spheres fosters a sense of permanent alertness, leading to cumulative burnout.

Each day began with multitasking—lectures, mentoring sessions, managing my home-based business, and caregiving. This rhythm of performing competence is reflected in narratives collected during fieldwork. A PSU officer shared:

“Even if I meet deadlines, it doesn’t count unless I’ve done justice to my children and home too.”

The expectation to excel at work while also embodying care at home constructs an unrealistic image of the ‘superwoman’—a woman whose worth is measured through invisible, unending labor.

Another participant, working in an administrative role, described how she would wake at 5 a.m. to prepare her children's tiffins, commute long distances, and still be expected to chair committees and meet deadlines with a smile. Her exhaustion was met with remarks like “She’s managing so well!” — reinforcing how the performance of composure becomes an oppressive standard.

4.2 Cultural Reinforcement of Superwoman Norms

During a field visit, an elderly woman remarked, "No matter how educated a woman is, she must adjust first." Such comments reflect internalized patriarchal attitudes. My research confirmed that these beliefs persist even among professionally accomplished women. Many shared how expressions of frustration or stress were labeled as weakness, often resulting in subtle exclusion from decision-making spaces.

One HR executive at a PSU narrated how her assertiveness in meetings was perceived as being “too emotional.” She said, “When I speak up for women employees, I’m told I’m biased. But when a man talks about discipline, it’s called leadership.” These experiences align with my own — where questioning status quo is often met with dismissal or silencing.

My thesis findings reveal how cultural constructs frame women's roles as innately self- sacrificial. The burden to "adjust first," as expressed by an elderly interviewee, reveals a societal narrative that subordinates women's aspirations.

"Work is temporary. Family is forever," one male supervisor told a woman declining to shift cities for a promotion—reflecting deep-seated resistance to female mobility and ambition

4.3 Guilt and the Gendered Economy of Worth

Guilt was a recurring emotion among participants. One oil industry employee confessed, "When I take a break for myself, I feel as if I'm abandoning something important." Like her, I have felt shame for resting, for missing family events due to academic deadlines, or for prioritizing mental health over work deliverables.

This guilt is systemic — shaped by expectations that equate a woman's worth with her ability to seamlessly manage both career and caregiving. From my doctoral findings, it was evident that married women often felt pressured to decline promotions involving relocation, while their spouses rarely faced such dilemmas. This reflects unequal social assumptions around mobility and sacrifice that married women often felt pressured to decline promotions involving relocation, while their spouses rarely faced such dilemmas. This reflects unequal social assumptions around mobility and sacrifice.

The guilt women carry stems from systemic contradictions: success in one sphere often signals perceived neglect in another. One PSU respondent described:

"After a long day at site inspection, I feel more guilt for not cooking dinner than I do pride for delivering good work."

This aligns with my own experiences—where guilt persisted regardless of accomplishment. My thesis emphasized how guilt functions as an internal policing tool. Cultural messaging glorifies women's endurance while devaluing boundaries and rest, making guilt a normalized emotional response.

An education officer in the hydrocarbon sector shared how she opted out of a leadership training programme abroad because her in-laws refused to help with childcare. Her manager called her "not ambitious enough." This scenario highlights how institutional growth is tethered to familial gatekeeping in women's lives.

4.4 Emotional Labor in Male-Dominated Workplaces

Women in technical and industrial sectors reported heightened emotional vigilance. Apart from performing job tasks, they often assumed the invisible role of mediators in workplace tensions and caretakers of team morale. In my experience as an educator, this has also been true — providing psychological support to students while managing institutional expectations created hidden emotional fatigue.

A technical assistant in the field team of a PSU told me, "Whenever a male colleague was struggling, I was asked to 'handle it nicely' — as if emotional care was my job." These micro- assignments of emotional labor, though unspoken, are enforced through gendered expectations and contribute to chronic fatigue.

Beyond job responsibilities, women perform 'relational maintenance' in the workplace. Participants from the oil industry noted being called upon to manage "group tensions" and maintain morale.

In one case, a female officer shared:

"I was told, 'You have a motherly vibe—can you speak to the intern whose struggling?'

This expectation of emotional caretaking is neither formalized nor rewarded but deeply ingrained. I too have found myself carrying emotional burdens, both inside academia and beyond, as if nurturing were part of my professional role.

4.5 Empowerment through Allyship

Not all stories were of isolation. My own experience, as well as that of several respondents, affirms the transformative power of male allyship. My husband has consistently advocated for equitable division of labor at home. He respects my intellectual labor and understands the exhaustion that often goes unnoticed. His support is a daily act of resistance — from managing household tasks to emotionally holding space for my work. Amid these challenges, instances of allyship shine. From my own life, my husband's unwavering support—from household chores to shielding me from burnout—is a radical act. His allyship, echoed in field narratives, demonstrates how male partnership can challenge hegemonic masculinity and support women's empowerment beyond rhetoric.

Similarly, in fieldwork, a manager at ONGC recounted advocating for flexi-hours for a female team lead returning from maternity leave. "She's brilliant," he said, "and just needed room to breathe."

A younger male officer shared how attending gender sensitization workshops changed his perspective. "I never realized my privilege until I saw how women's emotional bandwidth was stretched thin," he admitted. These instances reveal that empowerment becomes sustainable when shared and when allyship moves from symbolic support to structural shifts.

Together, these findings reveal how women's attempts to balance work and life are not just personal challenges but reflections of structural inequalities embedded in organizational cultures and familial dynamics. They show how allyship, when practiced authentically, can alleviate emotional burdens and promote a more humane, feminist work culture.

Discussion: Toward a Culture of Shared Empowerment

The findings of this inquiry underscore a significant paradox: while feminist discourses increasingly emphasize self-care, agency, and work-life balance, institutional realities often reward overextension and penalize vulnerability. This contradiction is most visible in professional fields such as academia, social work, and corporate administration, where emotional labor is gendered, normalized, and invisibilized. The challenges women face in balancing work and life are not individual failings—they are structurally embedded. Your thesis insightfully traced how urbanization, dual-income households, and shifting family expectations have complicated the terrain of emotional and physical labor. Yet, institutions lag behind in adapting their cultures and policies.

Women are caught in a paradox. They are hailed as "strong multitaskers," yet are penalized for requesting support or setting limits. The emotional economy of labor is especially skewed in male-dominated workplaces, where competence is measured by stoicism, and care is feminized and undervalued.

Crucially, your doctoral research highlights the dissonance between organizational policy and culture. Even in institutions with gender-equity policies, informal networks often resist implementation. As one officer said:

"We have flexi-hours on paper, but anyone who uses them is branded unserious."

This reflects a wider tension: women are urged to join the workforce but discouraged from disrupting its patriarchal norms. This also explains why many female professionals "choose" to exit mid-career—not out of incapability, but exhaustion and lack of support.

Intersectional concerns also arise. Married women, especially mothers, experience compounded marginalization through assumptions about availability and mobility. In such contexts, male allyship becomes transformative, especially when it moves from performance to practice

Women are praised for their resilience while simultaneously expected to perform unpaid emotional labor — from organizing office celebrations to mediating team conflicts. These experiences mirror Hochschild's (1983) insights into emotional labor and extend them to show how this labor disproportionately burdens women in male-dominated workspaces. The cost of this emotional labor is often paid in silence, mental exhaustion, and burnout — masked as professional excellence.

This study also reveals the symbolic and practical power of allyship. Male colleagues who challenge toxic masculinity, redistribute domestic labor, and advocate for flexible policies become active co-creators of empowerment. These insights affirm Connell's (2005) assertion that masculinities are not fixed but can be

reshaped through relational action. In practice, this looks like men who take parental leave, attend gender sensitization training, or choose to defer their career growth to support their partner's. Such acts, though small in visibility, carry radical implications for gender equity.

Intersectionality emerges as another vital theme. The compounded pressures of gender, professional status, and familial obligations highlight the need for more nuanced policies. For instance, field interviews exposed how childcare responsibilities and familial gatekeeping restrict women's mobility and limit promotion opportunities — particularly in STEM and administrative sectors. Crenshaw's (1989) framework allows us to examine how multiple identity categories intersect to produce unique forms of marginalization.

Additionally, organizational culture plays a pivotal role in either reinforcing or resisting gendered labor dynamics. Institutions that lack mental health support, do not recognize emotional fatigue, or equate productivity with presenteeism fail to nurture their workforce. From my research, it was evident that even when progressive policies existed on paper, their implementation was often hindered by managerial attitudes or informal hierarchies.

Feminist autoethnography, as practiced here, challenges traditional knowledge hierarchies in academic publishing. It validates subjective, emotionally rich, and context-specific reflections as legitimate contributions to social work discourse. Ahmed (2017) urges us to "follow the complaint," to listen to what women's exhaustion reveals about the systems they inhabit. My writing echoes this — it turns fatigue into knowledge, care into critique, and reflection into resistance.

To enact true empowerment, institutions must normalize vulnerability, reframe leadership as relational, and train managers in emotional literacy. Mentorship structures should prioritize not only output but wellbeing. Policies must integrate trauma-informed approaches and recognize caregiving as labor — worthy of support, time, and respect. Beyond policy, there must be a cultural shift — a reimagination of success that values balance, boundaries, and emotional sustainability.

Ultimately, this discussion invites us to rethink not only how we support women but how we redefine work and worth in a world still shaped by gendered scripts. The future of social work, education, and professional care lies not in endurance but in shared emotional responsibility and structural empathy.

Women are praised for their resilience while simultaneously expected to perform unpaid emotional labor — from organizing office celebrations to mediating team conflicts. These experiences mirror Hochschild's (1983) insights into emotional labor and extend them to show how this labor disproportionately burdens women in male-dominated workspaces. In line with Tronto's (1993) ethics of care, these findings demand that we reframe care not as an auxiliary task but as an essential and shared institutional responsibility.

This study also reveals the symbolic and practical power of allyship. Male colleagues who challenge toxic masculinity, redistribute domestic labor, and advocate for flexible policies become active co-creators of empowerment. These insights affirm Connell's (2005) assertion that masculinities are not fixed but can be reshaped through relational action.

Intersectionality emerges as another vital theme. The compounded pressures of gender, professional status, and familial obligations highlight the need for more nuanced policies. For instance, field interviews exposed how childcare responsibilities and familial gatekeeping restrict women's mobility and limit promotion opportunities — particularly in STEM and administrative sectors. Crenshaw's (1989) framework allows us to examine how multiple identity categories intersect to produce unique forms of marginalization.

Feminist autoethnography, as practiced here, also challenges traditional knowledge hierarchies in academic publishing. It validates subjective, emotionally rich, and context-specific reflections as legitimate contributions to social work discourse. Ahmed (2017) urges us to "follow the complaint," to listen to what women's exhaustion reveals about the systems they inhabit. My writing echoes this — it turns fatigue into knowledge, care into critique, and reflection into resistance.

To enact true empowerment, institutions must normalize vulnerability, reframe leadership as relational, and train managers in emotional literacy. Mentorship structures should prioritize not only output but wellbeing. Policies must integrate trauma-informed approaches and recognize caregiving as labor — worthy of support, time, and respect.

In social work, where empathy and care are foundational, the failure to acknowledge practitioners' emotional limits not only erodes wellbeing but weakens practice. Incorporating reflexive tools such as emotional journaling, community check-ins, and narrative supervision can bridge this gap.

Moreover, true empowerment cannot be sustained by women alone. It requires male allies who unlearn entitlement, respect boundaries, and redistribute labor at home and work. Empowerment is not a woman's burden — it is a collective responsibility. Including men in conversations around caregiving, policy reform, and emotional literacy is essential in reshaping a more balanced society.

Conclusion:

Reclaiming Space and Building Shared Futures This paper is not a lament but a call — to dismantle the 'superwoman' archetype and replace it with a vision of wholeness, equity, and shared care. By narrating my lived contradictions and those of other women professionals, I have sought to foreground how emotional labor, guilt, and performance of perfection are not just personal struggles but sociopolitical conditions.

As Sara Ahmed (2017) reminds us, 'The personal is structural.' To truly support women's empowerment, we must go beyond surface-level celebrations of multitasking and resilience. We must interrogate the systems that reward burnout and stigmatize boundary-setting.

This article affirms that empowerment is not solitary — it is relational. It is built through networks of care, the undoing of patriarchal scripts, and the co-creation of humane spaces where both strength and softness are honored. Allyship, when sustained and sincere, becomes a bridge to balance.

To all women carrying the invisible: You are already enough. To all men seeking to support: Your voice matters when it uplifts, not overpowers. Let us co-create a world where empowerment is not a lone act of endurance but a collective rhythm of liberation.

References:

Ahmed, S. (2017). Living a feminist life. Duke University Press.

Butler, J. (1990). Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity. Routledge. Connell, R. W. (2005). Masculinities (2nd ed.). University of California Press.

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex. University of Chicago Legal Forum, 1989(1), 139–167.

Ellis, C., Adams, T. E., & Bochner, A. P. (2011). Autoethnography: An overview. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-12.1.1589

Gilligan, C. (1982). In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development. Harvard University Press.

Hochschild, A. R. (1983). The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling. University of California Press.

Hooks, b. (2000). Feminism is for everybody: Passionate politics. South End Press. Lorde, A. (1984). Sister outsider: Essays and speeches. Crossing Press.
Perkins, D. D., & Zimmerman, M. A. (1995). Empowerment theory, research, and application. American Journal of Community Psychology, 23(5), 569–579. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02506982

Srivastava, S. (2020). Masculinity, consumerism and the post-national Indian city: Streets, neighbourhoods, and masculinities. Cambridge University Press.

Tronto, J. C. (1993). Moral boundaries: A political argument for an ethic of care. Routledge.

Tummala-Narra, P. (2016). Psychoanalytic theory and cultural competence in psychotherapy. American

Psychological Association.

Wright, C. (2021). Feminist autoethnography and intersectional voice. Qualitative Research Journal, 21(4), 350–365. https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-11-2020-0145