



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CREATIVE RESEARCH THOUGHTS (IJCRT)

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

## From Partition To Ongoing Rivalries: Analyzing India-Pakistan Relations In The 21<sup>st</sup> Century

### Chapter-1 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Historical Background: Partition of British India And its impact

The partition of British India in 1947 was one of the most defining and traumatic events in South Asian history. It led to the creation of two sovereign nations, India and Pakistan, and was accompanied by large-scale violence and mass displacement. The division was primarily based on religious lines, with India emerging as a secular state with a Hindu-majority population and Pakistan as a Muslim-majority nation. However, the partition was quickly executed, resulting in communal riots that claimed over a million lives and forced nearly 15 million people to migrate across newly drawn borders.

One of the most contentious outcomes of the partition was the unresolved status of Jammu and Kashmir. At the time of independence, princely states were given the choice to join either India or Pakistan. The Maharaja of Kashmir, Hari Singh, initially sought to remain independent but later agreed to India following an invasion by Pakistani-backed tribal militias. This decision led to the first Indo-Pakistani war (1947-1948) and resulted in the division of Kashmir into Indian-administered and Pakistani-administered territories, a dispute that remains unresolved to this day.

Over the decades, the India-Pakistan relationship has been marked by wars (1947, 1965, 1971, and 1999), military confrontations, and persistent diplomatic tensions. Both nations have developed nuclear capabilities, adding another layer of complexity to their rivalry. Additionally, issues such as cross-border terrorism, religious extremism, and political instability have further increased tensions, making their bilateral relationship one of the most challenging in modern international relations. (Ganguly, 2002)

#### 1.2 Significance of Study

The India-Pakistan conflict is not just a regional issue but a major concern for global security. Given that both nations are nuclear-armed, any escalation in tensions poses a significant threat to international stability. Additionally, South Asia is home to nearly 1.8 billion people, making

stability in the region crucial for global economic and strategic interests. Understanding the socio-political and security dynamics of India-Pakistan relations is essential for policymakers, academics, and international organizations working toward conflict resolution. The ongoing hostilities impact regional development, trade, and security cooperation, making it imperative to explore potential solutions for lasting peace. (Singh, 17)

## **Chapter-2 The Legacy of Partition and Early Relations**

### **2.1 The Partition of 1947**

The partition of British India in 1947 was one of the most traumatic events of the 20th century, resulting in the birth of two sovereign states—India and Pakistan. The division was the outcome of longstanding religious, political, and ideological differences between Hindus and Muslims, magnified by colonial policies of "divide and rule." The Indian National Congress, led by figures like Jawaharlal Nehru and Mahatma Gandhi, initially sought a united India, while the Muslim League, under Muhammad Ali Jinnah, argued that Muslims required a separate state to safeguard their political and religious rights.

The announcement of the partition, based on the Mountbatten Plan, led to an unprecedented mass migration of nearly 15 million people across the new borders. This migration was accompanied by communal violence, which resulted in the deaths of an estimated one to two million people. Hindu, Sikh, and Muslim communities found themselves trapped in regions where they were now minorities, leading to riots, massacres, and mass sexual violence. The new boundaries, drawn by Sir Cyril Radcliffe, also created logistical and governance challenges, particularly in Punjab and Bengal, where communities had lived together for centuries but were now forcefully divided.

### **2.2 The First Kashmir War (1947-1948)**

The First Kashmir War, fought between **October 1947 and December 1948**, was the direct consequence of the partition and the territorial dispute over Kashmir. Following the tribal invasion, India airlifted troops to Srinagar and successfully pushed back Pakistani forces in key

regions. However, the war soon escalated into a prolonged conflict, drawing international attention. India took the issue to the **United Nations (UN) in January 1948**, seeking international mediation. The UN proposed a **ceasefire agreement** in August 1948, which led to the establishment of the **Line of Control (LOC)** a border dividing Indian-administered and Pakistani-administered Kashmir. As part of the agreement, a voting was proposed, allowing Kashmiris to decide whether they wanted to join India or Pakistan. However, the voting was never conducted, as India and Pakistan failed to agree on the preconditions for such a vote.

The war formally ended on **January 1, 1949**, with the signing of the **Karachi Agreement**, but it laid the foundation for a prolonged and bitter rivalry. Kashmir remained a contested territory, leading to further wars in **1965, 1971, and 1999**. Pakistan continued to claim Kashmir as an integral part of its identity, while India asserted that Kashmir was an essential part of its secular, multi-religious democracy. The conflict also fueled militarization on both sides, with Pakistan developing close military ties with the United States and China, while India aligned itself with the Soviet Union in the coming decades. (Butalia, 2000)

### **2.3 The Role of Leaders: J.L. Nehru, Ali Jinnah**

#### **Jawaharlal Nehru (India's First Prime Minister)**

Jawaharlal Nehru, a strong advocate of secularism and democracy, played a crucial role in shaping India's post-partition policies. Nehru's decision to approach the **United Nations regarding Kashmir** was aimed at securing international legitimacy, but it also prolonged the conflict. He firmly believed that India's unity and secular environment would be threatened if Kashmir, a Muslim-majority state, was allowed to join Pakistan. His leadership in the early years ensured that India remained a non-aligned power during the Cold War, despite growing military tensions with Pakistan.

## Muhammad Ali Jinnah (Founding Father of Pakistan)

Muhammad Ali Jinnah, the leader of the Muslim League and Pakistan's first Governor-General, made Pakistan as a homeland for South Asian Muslims. He initially sought friendly relations with India but became disillusioned by the partition's violent aftermath and India's stance on Kashmir. His famous speech on **August 11, 1947**, called for religious tolerance in Pakistan, but his early death in 1948 left Pakistan politically unstable, leading to military influence in governance. Jinnah's **Two-Nation Theory**, which justified partition, became the ideological basis for Pakistan's continued claim over Kashmir.

## 2.4 Cultural and Religious Factors

### Role of Religion

Religion played a central role in shaping India and Pakistan's national identities post-partition. While India embraced **secularism**, Pakistan was founded on the belief that Muslims needed a separate state to protect their religious and political rights. This religious divide fueled nationalist sentiments on both sides.

**In India**, the post-independence leadership under Nehru promoted secularism and pluralism, despite communal tensions. However, religious and nationalist movements, particularly the rise of **Hindutva ideology** in later decades, complicated India's relations with Pakistan.

**In Pakistan**, the state increasingly leaned towards **Islamization**, particularly under military regimes such as General Zia-ul-Haq's in the 1980s, which further deepened religious extremism and animosities toward India. (Gopal, 1976)

## Chapter-3 The Cold War Era

### 3.1 India's Non Alignment and Pakistan's Alignment with West

During the Cold War (1947–1991), India and Pakistan adopted contrasting foreign policy approaches, further deepening their rivalry. India, under Prime Minister **Jawaharlal Nehru**, championed the **Non-Aligned Movement (NAM)**, refusing to formally align with either the United States or the Soviet Union. In contrast, Pakistan aligned itself with the **Western bloc**, forming strategic alliances with the United States and later China.

### India's Non Alignment and Strategic Autonomy

India played a leading role in the **Non-Aligned Movement (NAM)**, which sought to maintain independence from Cold War power blocs. Nehru envisioned NAM as a means to preserve India's sovereignty while engaging with both the U.S. and the Soviet Union on its own terms. However, despite its non-alignment stance, India leaned towards the **Soviet Union**, particularly after the 1960s, as Moscow provided economic aid, military support, and diplomatic backing in conflicts with Pakistan.

### Pakistan's Alignment with West

Pakistan, on the other hand, actively sought military and economic assistance from Western powers. It joined the **Southeast Asia Treaty Organization (SEATO) in 1954** and the **Central Treaty Organization (CENTO) in 1955**, both U.S.-led alliances designed to counter Soviet influence in Asia. The U.S. provided Pakistan with advanced military equipment, strengthening its armed forces against India. By the late 1960s, Pakistan also developed close ties with **China**, following border tensions between India and China. This alliance was further cemented in **1971**, when China supported Pakistan during the Bangladesh Liberation War. Pakistan also played a key role in facilitating the **U.S.-China rapprochement**, helping arrange President Nixon's historic visit to Beijing in **1972**.

### 3.2 The 1965 Indo-Pakistan war

The **1965 Indo-Pakistani War** was the second full-scale military conflict between the two nations, fought primarily over **Kashmir**. The war was a direct consequence of Pakistan's attempt to seize control of Indian-administered Kashmir through military action.

#### **Causes of the War**

1. **Operation Gibraltar:** Pakistan launched a covert operation, sending thousands of soldiers disguised as Kashmiri militants into Indian territory to incite rebellion. However, the plan backfired as local Kashmiris did not support the infiltrators, prompting a full-scale Indian military response.
2. **India's Response:** India retaliated with a counteroffensive, pushing deep into Pakistan, including an attack on Lahore.
3. **Soviet and U.S. Pressure:** The war threatened regional stability, prompting both the **Soviet Union** and the **U.S.** to intervene diplomatically.

#### **Tashkent Agreement (1966)**

The war ended with the Tashkent Agreement, brokered by the Soviet Union. Signed by Indian Prime Minister Lal Bahadur Shastri and Pakistani President Ayub Khan, the agreement called for:

- A ceasefire and withdrawal of troops to pre-war positions.
- Diplomatic negotiations to prevent future conflicts.
- No territorial gains for either side.

Despite the agreement, tensions remained high, and Pakistan's military leadership, including General Ayub Khan, faced domestic criticism for failing to achieve its objectives in Kashmir.

### 3.3 The 1971 Bangladesh Liberation War

In 1971, Pakistan faced a major internal crisis as East Pakistan (now Bangladesh) sought independence due to economic and political marginalization by West Pakistan. The situation escalated when the Awami League, led by Sheikh Mujibur Rahman, won the 1970 general elections but was denied power by West Pakistani authorities. In response, the Pakistani military launched Operation Searchlight in March 1971, a brutal crackdown that led to mass killings, displacements, and humanitarian crises.

#### **India's Involvement and Military Action**

- India, led by **Prime Minister Indira Gandhi**, supported the **Bangladeshi independence movement**, citing humanitarian concerns and Pakistan's oppressive actions in East Pakistan.
- Millions of **refugees fled to India**, straining its resources and increasing domestic pressure to intervene.
- In **December 1971**, India launched a **full-scale military invasion of East Pakistan**, after Pakistan **pre-emptively attacked Indian air bases**, leading to the **Indo-Pakistani War of 1971**.

#### **The Outcome: Creation of Bangladesh**

- The war lasted only **13 days**, ending on **December 16, 1971**, with Pakistan's **surrender in Dhaka**.
- Over **90,000 Pakistani soldiers were taken as prisoners of war**, marking one of the most **humiliating defeats in military history**.
- Bangladesh emerged as an **independent nation**, drastically reshaping South Asian geopolitics.

The war also led to a fundamental shift in Indo-Pakistani relations:

- India emerged as the **dominant regional power**.
- Pakistan faced severe political instability, leading to the **fall of Yahya Khan's government** and the rise of **Zulfikar Ali Bhutto**.
- The **Simla Agreement (1972)** was signed between India and Pakistan, committing both nations to resolve disputes **bilaterally**.

### 3.4 Nuclearization: The Arms Race

The **1971 defeat** and India's growing military superiority prompted Pakistan to aggressively pursue nuclear weapons to **prevent future military humiliations**.

- **India's Nuclear Test (1974):** India conducted its first successful nuclear test in **Pokhran** under **Prime Minister Indira Gandhi**, declaring it a "peaceful nuclear explosion" (Perkovich, 1999).
- **Pakistan's Response:** Under **Zulfikar Ali Bhutto**, Pakistan launched its **nuclear weapons program**, vowing that "Pakistanis will eat grass if necessary, but we will make the bomb" (Sagan, 2001).
- **China's Assistance:** Pakistan received **technological and material support from China**, accelerating its nuclear capabilities.

### **The 1998 Nuclear Tests**

By the late 20th century, both India and Pakistan had developed nuclear weapons, culminating in a series of tests in **May 1998**:

- **India tested five nuclear devices** on May 11 and 13, 1998, in **Pokhran-II**.
- **Pakistan responded with six nuclear tests** on May 28 and 30, 1998, in **Chagai Hills**.

### **Consequences of the Nuclear Arms Race**

- The nuclearization of both nations **increased deterrence** but also heightened risks of conflict escalation.
- The **Kargil War (1999)**, which occurred just a year later, demonstrated that conventional warfare was still possible despite nuclear deterrence.
- Both nations faced international sanctions but later gained **nuclear legitimacy**, engaging in strategic dialogues on arms control.

## Chapter-4 Kashmir Issue

### 4.1 The root Cause

The Kashmir conflict is the most enduring and volatile aspect of India-Pakistan relations, originating from the partition of **British India in 1947**. Both India and Pakistan lay claim to **Jammu and Kashmir (J&K)**, citing historical, political, and religious justifications.

### **India's Claim Over Kashmir**

- India asserts that Kashmir is an integral part of its territory based on the **Instrument of Accession**, signed by Maharaja **Hari Singh** in **October 1947**, which legally merged J&K with India in return for military protection against invading Pakistani-backed tribal militias.
- India upholds that J&K's accession was recognized internationally and maintains that **Kashmir is an internal issue**, rejecting external intervention.
- India emphasizes its secular and democratic framework, arguing that Kashmir, as a Muslim-majority region, exemplifies its pluralistic identity.

### **Pakistan's Claim Over Kashmir**

- Pakistan argues that **Kashmir, with a Muslim-majority population, should have become part of Pakistan** under the principles of partition, which allocated Muslim-majority regions to Pakistan.
- Pakistan also insists that the **UN-promised plebiscite**, which was supposed to allow Kashmiris to choose between India and Pakistan, has never been conducted due to India's refusal to withdraw troops as per UN resolutions.

- Pakistan has consistently supported Kashmiri separatists, viewing their struggle as an extension of the "**unfinished business of partition.**"

Since 1947, the **territory of Jammu and Kashmir has been divided** into three parts:

1. **Jammu and Kashmir (Indian-administered)**
2. **Azad Jammu and Kashmir (Pakistan-administered)**
3. **Gilgit-Baltistan (Pakistan-administered but with semi-autonomous status)**

Despite multiple wars and diplomatic negotiations, the dispute remains unresolved, making Kashmir a **flashpoint for military and political tensions.**

## **4.2 The Ongoing Insurgency in Kashmir**

### **The Rise of Insurgency (1989-Present)**

The insurgency in Indian-administered Kashmir began in **1989**, driven by:

- Allegations of **electoral fraud** in J&K's 1987 state elections.
- Growing **militant movements**, supported by Pakistan, to wage an armed struggle against Indian rule.
- The influence of **Islamist extremism and radicalization**, with many foreign jihadist fighters joining the insurgency.

Key militant groups involved:

- **Hizbul Mujahideen** (Kashmir-based, pro-Pakistan)
- **Lashkar-e-Taiba (LeT)** (Pakistan-based, responsible for the 2008 Mumbai attacks)
- **Jaish-e-Mohammed (JeM)** (Pakistan-based, responsible for the 2019 Pulwama attack)

### **India's Counterinsurgency Operations**

India has employed extensive military and counterinsurgency strategies to control insurgent activity:

- **Armed Forces Special Powers Act (AFSPA):** Grants the Indian military special powers, including arrest without warrant and immunity from prosecution.
- **Operation All-Out:** A large-scale military crackdown on militant groups.
- **Revocation of Article 370 (2019):** India revoked J&K's special status, integrating it fully into India, which led to increased unrest.

### **Pakistan's Role in Kashmir Insurgency**

- Pakistan has been accused of **providing logistical, financial, and military support to Kashmiri militant groups** (Fair, 2014).
- The **ISI (Inter-Services Intelligence)** is believed to have trained and armed militants, particularly after the Soviet-Afghan War (1980s).
- Pakistan denies direct involvement but claims it offers "**moral and diplomatic support**" to Kashmiri separatists.

## **4.3 Diplomatic Attempts**

### **The United Nations and Kashmir**

In **1948**, India took the Kashmir issue to the **United Nations (UN)**, leading to:

- The **UN Security Council Resolution 47**, which proposed a **plebiscite** after Pakistan withdrew troops (which it never did).
- The **UN Military Observer Group in India and Pakistan (UNMOGIP)** was established to monitor the ceasefire line.
- Over time, the UN's role diminished as both India and Pakistan pursued bilateral negotiations.

## Key Bilateral Agreements

### 1. Simla Agreement (1972)

- Signed after **Pakistan's defeat in the 1971 war** and the creation of Bangladesh.
- Declared that India and Pakistan would resolve disputes **bilaterally**.
- Led to the transformation of the **ceasefire line into the Line of Control (LoC)**.

### 2. Lahore Declaration (1999)

- A peace initiative between **Indian PM Atal Bihari Vajpayee and Pakistani PM Nawaz Sharif**.
- Promoted **confidence-building measures (CBMs)**, trade, and nuclear security agreements.
- The progress was disrupted by **Pakistan's Kargil intrusion** in the same year.

### 3. Agra Summit (2001)

- A failed attempt at peace talks between India's **PM Vajpayee and Pakistan's President Pervez Musharraf**.
- The summit collapsed over **Pakistan's refusal to curb terrorism originating from its soil**.

### 4. Composite Dialogue Process (2004-2008)

- A series of negotiations covering trade, border management, and people-to-people contact.
- It collapsed after the **2008 Mumbai attacks**, carried out by Pakistan-based militants.

Despite these efforts, **no lasting resolution has been achieved**, as cycles of diplomacy have been repeatedly disrupted by terrorist attacks, border skirmishes, and political instability.

## 21<sup>st</sup> Century Dynamics: Economic, Diplomatic and Military Factors

The 21<sup>st</sup> century has witnessed significant shifts in **India-Pakistan relations**, shaped by **economic transformations, counterterrorism strategies, military standoffs, and evolving international alliances**. While India has emerged as a rising economic and global power, Pakistan has faced persistent economic challenges and political instability. The relationship has been further complicated by cross-border terrorism, military conflicts, and the changing role of international actors such as **China, the U.S., and regional powers**.

### 5.1 Economic Growth and Competition

#### India's Economic Rise and Pakistan's Economic Struggles

India's economic trajectory in the 21<sup>st</sup> century has been marked by rapid growth, technological advancements, and increased global influence, whereas Pakistan has struggled with economic instability, debt crises, and political volatility.

##### India's Economic Growth

- India has become the **fifth-largest economy in the world (IMF, 2023)**, with strong industrial, technological, and service sectors.
- Key initiatives like **Make in India, Digital India**, and economic liberalization have attracted **foreign direct investment (FDI)** and strengthened trade relations.
- India's **strategic partnerships with the U.S., European Union, and Japan** have bolstered its global position.

##### Pakistan's Economic Challenges

- Pakistan has faced **repeated financial crises**, relying on **IMF bailouts** and loans from China and Saudi Arabia.
- The country struggles with **high inflation, low foreign reserves, and external debt**.
- The **China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC)**, part of China's **Belt and Road Initiative (BRI)**, aims to boost Pakistan's infrastructure but has also increased its **dependence on Chinese loans**.

## Impact on Foreign Policies

- India's economic success has strengthened its diplomatic influence, allowing it to **counter Pakistan's global narratives on Kashmir and terrorism**.
- Pakistan's economic dependence on China has led to **stronger military and diplomatic ties with Beijing**, affecting regional dynamics.
- Trade between India and Pakistan has remained **limited due to political tensions**, with Pakistan suspending trade ties after India revoked **Article 370 (2019)**.

## 5.2 The War on Terror and Its Impact on India-Pakistan Relations

### Pakistan's Role in the War on Terror

After the **9/11 attacks (2001)**, Pakistan became a **key ally of the U.S. in the War on Terror**, receiving billions in aid for counterterrorism operations in exchange for supporting the fight against the **Taliban and Al-Qaeda** in Afghanistan.

- **Pakistan's Double Game:** While publicly supporting the U.S., Pakistan's military and **Inter-Services Intelligence (ISI)** have been accused of **harboring terrorist groups**, including the **Haqqani Network** and the **Afghan Taliban**.

### Impact on India-Pakistan Relations:

- The presence of terror groups in Pakistan has led to **increased Indian concerns over cross-border terrorism**.
- The **2001 Indian Parliament attack** and the **2008 Mumbai attacks**, both carried out by Pakistan-based groups, severely damaged relations.
- India has consistently urged the **Financial Action Task Force (FATF)** to take action against Pakistan for failing to curb terror financing.

### U.S. Withdrawal from Afghanistan (2021) and Regional Implications

- The Taliban's return to power in Afghanistan (August 2021) with Pakistan's backing has weakened India's influence in the region.
- Pakistan views **Taliban-controlled Afghanistan as a strategic advantage**, while India fears that it could become a new base for **anti-India terror groups like Jaish-e-Mohammed and Lashkar-e-Taiba**.

## 5.3 Cross-Border Terrorism

### Major Terrorist Attacks and India's Response

Pakistan-based militant groups have been responsible for **major terrorist attacks** that have shaped India's military and diplomatic policies.

1. **2001 Indian Parliament Attack**
  - Carried out by **Lashkar-e-Taiba (LeT)** and **Jaish-e-Mohammed (JeM)**.
  - Nearly brought India and Pakistan to **the brink of war**.
  - Led to **"Operation Parakram"**, with India deploying troops along the border in a 10-month military standoff.
2. **2008 Mumbai Attacks**
  - **Lashkar-e-Taiba** militants launched coordinated attacks, killing **166 people**, including foreign nationals.
  - **Ajmal Kasab**, the only captured terrorist, confirmed ISI's involvement.
  - India suspended talks and increased diplomatic pressure, leading to **U.S. intervention in urging Pakistan to crack down on terror networks**.
3. **2016 Uri Attack and Surgical Strikes**
  - **Jaish-e-Mohammed** militants attacked an Indian Army base in **Uri, Kashmir**, killing **19 soldiers**.
  - India responded with **surgical strikes** on terror camps inside **Pakistan-administered Kashmir**, marking a shift in its military posture.
4. **2019 Pulwama Attack and Balakot Air Strikes**
  - A **suicide bombing in Pulwama**, killing **40 Indian paramilitary personnel**, was claimed by **Jaish-e-Mohammed**.

- India conducted **airstrikes in Balakot, Pakistan**, targeting militant training camps.
- The crisis escalated into **aerial dogfights**, with Pakistan capturing Indian pilot **Abhinandan Varthaman**, later released as a diplomatic gesture.

## **5.4 The Role of International Players in Indo-Pak Relations**

### **United States**

- The U.S. has historically maintained ties with both India and Pakistan but has tilted towards India in recent years due to economic and strategic interests.
- Défense agreements like the **U.S.-India Civil Nuclear Deal (2008)** and **QUAD (India, U.S., Japan, Australia)** strengthen Indo-U.S. ties.
- The U.S. pressured Pakistan to curb terrorism, leading to Pakistan's placement on the **FATF "Grey List" (2018-2022)** for terror financing concerns.

### **China: Pakistan's Key Ally and India's Rival**

- **China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC)** has deepened China's economic and military engagement in Pakistan.
- China supports Pakistan diplomatically, blocking UN sanctions on Pakistan-based terrorist groups.
- India-China tensions, especially after the **2020 Galwan Valley clash**, have pushed India closer to the U.S. and its allies.

### **Regional Actors: Afghanistan, Iran, and Saudi Arabia**

- **Afghanistan:** India lost strategic influence in Afghanistan after the Taliban takeover (2021), while Pakistan gained diplomatic leverage.
- **Iran:** India and Iran share economic ties (Chabahar Port project), while Pakistan maintains cautious ties due to **Sunni-Shia tensions**.
- **Saudi Arabia:** Historically aligned with Pakistan, but shifting towards India due to **economic partnerships and investment opportunities**.

## **Chapter-6 The Changing Geopolitical Landscape**

The 21st century presents both challenges and opportunities for India-Pakistan relations as new security threats, geopolitical shifts, and evolving global institutions reshape the regional landscape. While there is potential for conflict escalation through technological advancements and cyber warfare, there are also opportunities for peacebuilding through diplomacy, economic integration, and multilateral engagement.

## **6.1 Emerging Challenges and Opportunities**

### **Conflict Escalation Risks**

- **Cyber Warfare:** Both India and Pakistan are developing cyber capabilities to infiltrate each other's military, financial, and political networks. State-backed hacking groups have already targeted critical infrastructure, increasing the risk of digital warfare (Joshi, 2021).
- **Drone Warfare:** The use of drones for surveillance, arms smuggling, and cross-border attacks has escalated, with incidents of weaponized drones targeting Indian military bases in Jammu & Kashmir.
- **Nuclear Posturing:** Both nations maintain doctrines of nuclear deterrence, but new advancements in hypersonic missiles and AI-driven warfare could increase the potential for miscalculations and rapid escalation.
- **China's Role:** India's tensions with China, particularly after the 2020 Galwan Valley clash, further complicate the region's stability. Pakistan's close ties with Beijing could lead to strategic realignments in South Asia.

## The Role of Global Institutions in Managing Tensions

Despite geopolitical challenges, global institutions and regional alliances have the potential to mediate tensions:

- **United Nations (UN):** While UN resolutions on Kashmir have had limited impact, the UN plays a role in monitoring human rights and supporting peace initiatives.
- **Financial Action Task Force (FATF):** Pakistan was placed on the **FATF Grey List (2018-2022)** for terror financing concerns, pressuring Islamabad to take action against militant groups.
- **G20 and BRICS:** India's role in these organizations enhances its global standing, potentially increasing diplomatic leverage in countering Pakistan's narratives on Kashmir and cross-border terrorism.

### 6.2 Peacebuilding Initiatives

#### The Role of Multilateral Forums

- **Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO):** Both India and Pakistan are members of the SCO, providing a neutral platform for dialogue on security and counterterrorism cooperation.
- **South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation (SAARC):** While SAARC has been largely ineffective due to political tensions, it remains a forum for promoting regional trade and cooperation.
- **Track-II Diplomacy:** Unofficial diplomatic efforts, including backchannel talks and civil society engagements, have played a role in reducing tensions and opening dialogue on conflict resolution.
- **Confidence-Building Measures (CBMs)**
  - **Military CBMs:** Agreements such as the 2003 ceasefire along the Line of Control (LoC) and military hotlines have helped prevent accidental conflicts.
  - **People-to-People Initiatives:** Cultural exchanges, sporting events, and religious pilgrimages (e.g., **Kartarpur Corridor for Sikh pilgrims**) have provided opportunities for engagement despite political tensions.

### 6.3 Possible Pathways to Resolution

#### Economic Integration and Trade Diplomacy

- **Bilateral Trade:** Greater trade cooperation, similar to India-China economic ties despite border tensions, could create mutual economic dependencies that deter conflict.
- **Energy Cooperation:** Joint projects in energy security, including shared hydroelectric resources and pipeline agreements, could promote long-term stability.

### Revisiting the Kashmir Issue

A revised approach to Kashmir could involve:

- **Autonomy Agreements:** A potential framework where J&K has greater autonomy while remaining part of India, similar to Hong Kong's model.
- **Joint Administration Models:** Some analysts propose a **"soft border" approach**, allowing freer movement and shared governance mechanisms between Indian and Pakistani Kashmir.
- **International Mediation:** While India opposes external involvement, third-party mediation (e.g., **UAE-brokered talks in 2021**) could facilitate discussions on security and governance.

## Chapter-7 Conclusion

India-Pakistan relations have been shaped by historical grievances, territorial disputes, military conflicts, and evolving geopolitical dynamics. The legacy of Partition (1947) and the Kashmir dispute remain central to the rivalry, fuelling multiple wars (1947-48, 1965, 1971, 1999) and periodic military standoffs. During the Cold War, India pursued a non-aligned strategy, while Pakistan allied with the U.S. and China, setting the stage for regional power shifts. The nuclearization of both states (1998) further escalated tensions, creating a fragile balance of deterrence.

In the 21st century, key developments have influenced bilateral ties:

- India's economic rise and Pakistan's financial struggles have reshaped their strategic priorities.
- **Cross-border terrorism** remains a major flashpoint, with incidents like the 2001 Indian Parliament attack, 2008 Mumbai attacks, 2016 Uri attack, and 2019 Pulwama attack exacerbating hostilities.
- **Pakistan's role in Afghanistan** and its ties with China through **CPEC** have further impacted regional dynamics.
- International players, including the U.S., China, and the UN, have played roles in **crisis** mediation and strategic alignments.

Despite multiple peace efforts (Shimla Agreement, Lahore Declaration, backchannel diplomacy), mutual distrust and conflicting national interests continue to hinder long-term reconciliation.

