



DOMESTIC WATER SOURCES AT THE HOUSEHOLDS' LEVEL IN AIZAWL CITY, MIZORAM

Dr. C. Ramhnehzauva

Department of Geography
Govt. Lawngtlai College
Lawngtlai – Mizoram

Abstract: The water needs of the hilly people for generations have been met by natural sources of water. Traditionally, Tuikhur (i.e., water seepages accumulated in artificially fabricated reservoirs and springs water collected in artificial tanks) on the hill slopes and collection of rainwater is used as the main source of drinking water in Aizawl. With increasing population, traditional source of water became progressively inadequate for fulfilling the water needs of the people. Despite the area is gifted with abundant rains, the major portion of it goes waste in the form of surface runoff due to high relief and steep slopes. At present, the capital of Mizoram faces water crisis and despite piped water supply scheme, the quantum of water supplied is far below the civic standards.

Key Words: Tuikhur, House Connection, Rainwater, Source of Water

Introduction: Though, water is not strictly a public good, in most countries it has been a convention that water supply and provision is under the governments' realm. In the study area too, this is the case. However, the public agency fails to satisfy the water demand of the residents. This situation creates water scarcity and forces residents to look for alternate sources or alternate arrangements to access water. Therefore, to meet their daily requirements people use different water sources.


Literature Survey: As many as 500 million urban residents have inappropriate access to water services or experience water scarcity (USAID and PADCO, 2001). The World Bank (2001) reports stated that 25 per cent of the urban population of Latin America and 60 per cent of the urban population of Africa are not connected to official utility networks and rely on alternative sources for their water supply. Low-income families that construct dwellings at the urban fringe far removed from main trunk lines, providing adequate supplies of safe water will remain one of the biggest urban challenges in coming decades (Howard and Bartram, 2005).

In 2004, about 3.5 billion people worldwide (54 per cent of the global population) had access to piped water supply through house connections. Another 1.3 billion (20 per cent) had access to an improved water source through other means than house connections, including standpipes, water kiosks, protected springs and protected wells. Finally, more than 1 billion people (16 per cent) did not have access to an improved water source, meaning that they have to revert to unprotected wells or springs, canals, lakes or rivers to fetch water (World Bank, 2004).

STUDY AREA: Aizawl, the capital of Mizoram state, is situated in on the hillcrests, steep slopes and small valleys. It is located on a north-south elongated ridge, which acts as the main hill from which many small ridges and valleys are extending towards the east and west directions. The topography is highly undulating and rugged. The altitude varies from 120 m to 1400 m above mean sea level. It falls between 23° 40' N to 23° 50' N latitudes and 92° 40' E to 92° 49' E longitudes (Fig. 1). It covers an area of about 128.98 sq km, and as per 2011 Census, the population is 293,416 persons.

DATA BASE AND METHODOLOGY: The present study is based on the information obtained from primary and secondary sources.

- (i) Survey of India toposheets No. 84 A/9, 84 A/10, 84 A/13, 84 A/14 (scale 1:50,000), is used to develop base map of the study area.



Study Area (Aizawl City)

- (ii) Households' survey was carried out in 15 local councils out of 72 local councils of the study area (Fig. 2). This amounted to coverage of 20.83 per cent of the total local councils. The number of sample households selected from each of the sample local councils are 50 households, thus data was collected from 750 households.
- (iii) To conduct households' survey, few households have been identified because studying all the households in the sample local councils is usually impracticable in view of time, money involved, and other considerations. A stratified random sampling procedure was used to select local councils for the survey, i.e. number of population, percentage of individual piped water connections, and geographical location were taken into considerations to give an overall view of each corner of the study area. Households to be surveyed were selected based on random sampling method and it is believed that they are reasonably representative households in the study area.
- (iv) Principal/main source of water refers to the water source that the households' has been obtaining the largest amount of water. Therefore, data were collected through a structured schedule and an effort was made to get the relevant information from the ones that were assumed responsible for the collection and use of water. Being responsible is here understood as the one administering the water and not necessarily the ones fetching it. For this reason female household heads or other female family member and not children were preferred as respondent.




Fig. 2: Location of Sample Village Councils in Aizawl

DISCUSSION:

Water Sources At The Households' Level: Roughly, 50.66 per cent of the households have access to house connections; 21.46 per cent have access to public taps; 75.06 per cent collect rainwater; 53.60 per cent use *tuikhur*; 20.13 per cent use hand pumps; 42.40 per cent purchase water from tankers, and 0.66 per cent use dug wells (Table1). The fact that the number of water sources is nearly triple to the number of households shows that people depend on multiple sources for their water supply. This indicates that only a particular source is unable to cater the households' water needs throughout the year.

Therefore, 72.12 per cent of the households have access to piped water supply either through house connections or public taps. Out of this, about 21.46 per cent have access to public taps and the rest 50.66 per cent have access to respective house connections. In 2004, about 54 per cent of the global population had access to piped water supply through house connections (World Bank, 2004). Subsequently, the 54th round of National Sample Survey Organization data shows that 70.10 per cent of the households in urban India depend on tap water (NSSO, 1999). Thus, the number of households using tap water in the study area is slightly higher than the 54th round figures of NSSO while the percentage of households having access to house connections is lower than the global percentage.

Due to limited coverage of piped utility and unreliability of piped supply, *tuikhur* and rainwater harvesting play a significant role in meeting water supply needs of the people. In fact, *tuikhur* and rainwater collection are the important sources of water in the local councils beyond reach of the piped water supply and those who cannot afford house connection. The users of public taps are high in those local councils where the users of house connections are low. The users of hand pumps are also high in the local council areas where in-house piped connection is absent. Since wells are privately owned, and located within the private premises the users are very less. Commercial water tankers do play an important role in meeting the water requirements of the people during the dry winter season. It indicates that due to the failure of public agency (PHED) to provide water to the people the water vendors have their hey-day in the study area, particularly during dry winter/lean period.

Table 1: Access to Different Means of Water Supply (No. of Households)

Name of Local Council	House Connection	Public Tap	Rain-water	Tuikhur	Hand-Pump	Water Tanker	Dug Well
Durtlang	0	0	50	50	23	24	0
Zemabawk	13	21	39	39	14	14	0
Chal lang	33	0	36	19	7	24	1
Ramhlun 'S'	41	3	33	16	7	22	1
Chanmari 'W'	37	6	37	17	0	23	0
Electric Veng	38	8	30	18	8	22	0
Chhinga Veng	25	18	36	25	7	19	0
Armed Veng 'N'	16	22	43	34	0	22	0
Bungkawn	38	7	33	21	12	21	0
Tuikual 'S'	22	16	34	28	15	18	1
Dawrpui Vengthar	44	0	31	13	3	27	0
Tanhril	0	27	45	45	24	14	0
College Veng	33	12	33	25	13	21	1
Mission Veng	29	0	36	21	9	23	1
Saikhamakawn	11	21	47	31	9	24	0
Total	380	161	563	402	151	318	5
Percentage	50.66	21.46	75.06	53.6	20.13	42.4	0.66

Source: Sample Households Survey, 2018.

Principal/Main Source of Water Supply


Principal/main source of water refers to the water source that the households have been obtaining the largest amount of water. Even though there is widespread use of wide variety of water sources, people use only three water sources as their principal source of water, such as house connection, *tuikhur* and rainwater harvesting. About 50.66 per cent of the households use house connection as their principal source of water supply (Table 2 and Fig.3). Although the service that they receive is often substandard, yet all the households having house connections use it as their principal source of water supply. In fact, the number of house connection users in sample local councils is more or less proportionate to the PHED figure. It is important to note that no household uses public tap as the principal/main source of water, indicating its unreliability. The reliability of piped water supply to a household is defined in terms of the adequacy of the provided service levels for the purpose at hand (Madanat and Humplinck, 1993).

Tuikhur are by far the most prevalent alternative to house connection as it they are used by 45.87 per cent of the households as their principal source of water. Majority of the households in the local councils where house connection utilities are absent use *tuikhur* as their principal source of water supply. Despite that the respective local council is within reach of the piped water network, a large number of households use *tuikhur* as their principal source of water supply. It indicates that households' monthly income, which is a proxy for ability to pay, is a significant predictor for piped water in residence, because in these local councils, majorities are lower income families. Usually, house service connection requires the payment of large sums at a time (for connection fees), and this clearly limits the potential for poor families to have pipe connection. It also involves a commitment to monthly bill payment that is contrary to income patterns. Hence, for them pipe connection costs tend to be unaffordable.

Table 2: Principal Source of Water (% of households)

Name of Village Council	House Connection	Tuikhur	Rainwater Harvesting
Durtlang	0	86	14
Zemabawk	26	68	6
Chaltlang	66	34	0
Ramhlun 'S'	82	18	0
Chanmari 'W'	74	26	0
Electric Veng	76	24	0
Chhingga Veng	50	50	0
Armed Veng 'N'	32	68	0
Bungkawn	76	24	0
Tuikual 'S'	44	56	0
Dawrpui Vengthar	88	12	0
Tanhril	0	84	16
College Veng	66	34	0
Mission Veng	58	42	0
Saikhamakawn	22	62	16
Overall	50.66	45.87	3.47

Source: Sample Households Survey, 2018.

**Fig. 3: Principal Source of Water (% of households)**

Moreover, though the number of households collecting rainwater is high (75.06 per cent), only 3.47 per cent of the households use it as their principal source of water supply. The users of rainwater harvesting as principal source of water supply have been noticed from four local councils, all of them lie on the periphery of the study area. Thus, roof water-harvesting offers very little scope for ensuring it as main source of water supply in the study area due to physical and economic constraints.

From the point of hydrological opportunities, roof top water harvesting appears to be one of the most convenient strategies for mitigating the growing domestic water crisis in the study area. At the same time, in hilly terrain that suffers from lack of natural storage of water, roof water harvesting systems can be economically viable proposition for supplementing conventional water supply systems.

Along with hydrological opportunities, what is also equally important is the physical feasibility of installing roof water harvesting for making it as the principal/main source of households' water supply. The issue of scalability is directly linked to this. Roof top rainwater harvesting requires large under-ground or ground storage tanks. Nevertheless, the study area housing stocks are not going to provide the kind of land area required for constructing such large tank, which is necessary for storing the water for the lean season. It appears that the system will be unviable in the core area with high concentration of population and houses meanwhile it might be viable in the outer areas with scattered population and houses.

Conclusion: The residents of Aizawl city used both traditional and modern water sources to meet their domestic water requirements. Out of six types of water sources, half are traditional sources, i.e., *tuikhur*, rainwater harvesting and dug wells, while the remaining half are modern sources, viz., piped water supply, hand pumps and water tankers. It has been observed that most households are not using water from only

one source but from multiple sources. Households' domestic water source is governed by the availability of water sources in their respective area and households' economic conditions. About 50.66 per cent of the households use house connections, 45.87 per cent use *tuikhur* and 3.47 per cent use rainwater harvesting as their principal source of water supply.

REFERSNCES:

Howard, G. and Bartram, J., 2005. Effective Water Supply Surveillance in Urban Areas of Developing Countries. *Water Health* 2005 (3): p. 31.

Madanat, S. and Humplinck, F., 1993. A model of Household Choice of Water Supply Systems in Developing Countries. *Water Resource Res.* 29(5): pp. 1353–1358.

NSSO (National Sample Survey Organisation), 1999. *Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in India*. National Sample Survey Organisation, 54th Round, Report No. 449. Government of India, New Delhi.

USAID /PADCO, 2001. *Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Programming Guide*. http://www.makingcitieswork.org/urbanws/Guide/guide_print.pdf

World Bank, 2001. *World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty*. Oxford University Press, New York. p. 321.

World Bank, 2004. *World Development Report 2004: Making Services Work for Poor People*. Oxford University Press, New York. p. 268.

