

Narrative Disruption: How Postmodernism Alters Archival Interpretation And Use

Mohd. Shakir Hussain Choudhury
Assistant Professor
Department of History
Karimganj College, Karimganj

Abstract

This paper explores the idea of narrative disruption and the ways in which postmodernism radically changes how archive materials are interpreted and used. Archives have historically been thought of as immobile, impartial storehouse of historical facts, used to record significant people, events, and linear stories. Postmodernist approaches, on the other hand, subvert traditional norms by highlighting the diversity of viewpoints, the significance of context, and the dynamic character of historical understanding. Postmodernism opposes the idea of a single, authoritative story by encouraging the inclusion of underrepresented perspectives and acknowledging that every interpretation is subjective. This paradigm change has significant ramifications for historical research, compelling historians to critically analyze the power dynamics and biases present in archival documents, as well as for archive practices, driving archivists to embrace more inclusive and flexible techniques. Postmodernism expands the meaning of archives to encompass digital records, oral histories, and other types of collective memory, hence enhancing the range of historical material that is deemed valuable. This essay contends that by emphasizing a variety of experiences that were previously underappreciated, postmodernist disruption of conventional narratives democratizes the field while also enhancing our understanding of history.

Keywords: Archival Theory, Postmodernism, Paradigm Shift, Historical Records

1. Introduction

Traditionally, archives, derived from Greek *archeion* which signifies a public office for records, mean a collection of old public documents, records etc. or it refers to a place where such documents are kept, founded by the state, to serve the state, as part of the state's hierarchical structure and organizational culture.

In recent times, archives have gained recognition among educated circles, yet the general public remains largely unaware of their importance, often due to the infrequent use of the term "archives" in everyday language. This lack of familiarity has led to widespread ignorance and apathy. To clarify, archives refer to non-current records of enduring value. While the term "record" broadly encompasses various documents, maps, charts, and codices, archives specifically denote those records that are no longer actively used by their creating agencies and are preserved for their research value. Additionally, the term "archives" can also refer to the building that houses these

records and the administrative setup responsible for their maintenance. However, it is primarily the original meaning of non-current, valuable records that concerns professionals in the field.

Archives have wider connotations in postmodern parlance. They represent history's institutionalization. According to French post-structuralist theorist Jacques Derrida, meaning is never stable and is always in a state of fluctuation, meaning that words have various meanings rather than set ones. This process, which Derrida refers to as *différance*, involves delaying words' acquisition of their complete meaning and their prior appearances [1]. Through the years, the definition of "archives" has changed, and in postmodern times, it no longer only refers to a physical location or structure where documents are kept. Rather than serving the state alone, it incorporates 'collective social memory,' which includes music, oral histories, memories, public and private archives, public property, digital archives, and more.

With the rise of postmodernism, an 'archival paradigm shift,' as described by Terry Cook, is underway. This shift emphasizes the process over the product, the dynamic over the static, the context over the text, and time and place over universal absolutes for analyzing and understanding society and its history. This transformation is closely tied to the evolving ways in which history is understood and written today. Historical narratives have moved from a focus on facts and dates, dynastic histories, wars and treaties, and political elites—commonly referred to as "history from above"—to new concepts such as "history from below," the subaltern, marginalized voices, class and gender, ethnicity and race, culture and custom, immigrant and minority groups, peasants and workers, and women and children. While factually oriented political history remains significant and archives continue to be a vital source of primary data, there has been a marked shift towards what Peter Burke calls 'new perspectives' in historical writing. This article examines the impact of postmodernism on archival research, particularly within the discipline of history.

1.1 Objectives

- To explore the evolution of archival concepts in Postmodernity
- To analyze the impact of Postmodernism on archival practices
- To assess the broadened scope of archives in the Postmodern era

1.2 Significance of Archives

The importance of archives in modern democracies can hardly be over-emphasized. Archives have been found to serve the purposes of people in all walks of life. They contain a variety of information to satisfy the special interests of each class of people in a democratic society. Take, for instance, the case of administrators in Government departments. They produce records primarily for their own use, not with any pre-conceived plan but as occasions arise. Soon these records become the most important instrument of administration, for, the administrators can fall back upon these for precedents and decisions. This is because records remain as complete evidence of the thoughts and activities relating to a particular transaction. They contain the most considered views

and ideas of experienced administrators, statesmen and legislators on a variety of matters of public interest. Reports of different Commissions and Committees set up by Government to look into some special aspects of administration and the remarks and orders on them contain very useful information for the administrators to refer to them when circumstances so demand. With all these handy the administrators draw their necessary guidelines for future course of action. In fact, most of our present problems have been inherited from the past and are, therefore, to be sorted out with reference to the past. No Government can run its administration without taking help of old records i.e. archives. As has been said earlier archives reflects the working of an entire administration of any particular period of time. And in doing so it touches nearly every important aspect of a country's life. As such archives has acquired an added significance as part of our cultural heritage in documentary form. And as part of the nation's cultural heritage, archives serve the needs of the educated class, especially the students of Social Sciences, by supplying them with the most authentic source-materials for their learned treatises. In fact, archives remain to be the primary source materials for researchers in the field of History, Political Science, Economics, Sociology and others.

What is obvious is that public records have a special merit as original and authentic source material of history. But at the same time, we are aware that these official papers do not contain a complete account of the course of a nation's history being limited to the extent to which government activities form part of the totality of the nation's activities as a whole. Records available in the private custody of individuals, families, private bodies, business houses, semi-government organizations quite often throw enough light on obscure aspect of government business and help to explain subtle influences that might have been responsible for making of government policies effecting important national issues. Thus, public and private records are complimentary to each other. And, as such, by their very nature become one of the most valuable and authentic tools of historical research.

In his day-to-day life, an ordinary citizen of a democratic country also needs records because they provide guarantee to his rights and obligations. Records of Municipalities and other local bodies which relate to birth, marriage and death have bearing on the question of citizenship and rights inherent in citizenship. Records relating to transfer of property are needed to establish one's right over property and to clear up disputed inheritance cases. Election register evidences the right of a citizen to participate in the government of the country. In this way instances of the importance of records to different classes of people can be multiplied but it is not possible to include them all in the limited space of a souvenir. It is said that a treasure is no treasure unless it is known. Howsoever great a value records may have for every-one in a democratic society, such value would be absolutely lost until the people are aware of it. Unfortunately, the people of India, said to be the biggest democracy in the world, are not quite conscious of the great value and importance of records. Lack of archives consciousness has become proverbial in our country. About archives consciousness in Assam, the less said the better. Even at the official level archives has not received the minimum of attention it deserves. After almost five decades of attainment of independence people as well the administration in the state seems to have remained as ignorant of the value of archives as before. But civilized administration in any state or country need to pay adequate attention

to matters archival if they wish that posterity should know what they had done for the country. Once the administration is aware of the importance of archives and their upkeep, it can launch vigorous programmes for creating awareness among the common people. And when the people will develop archives consciousness, they in their turn, will force others, even the administration, to pay due attention to archives [2] [3].

2. Discussion

2.1 Transition from Modernism to Postmodernism

Since the mid-1980s, postmodernism has gained prominence in academia. Numerous modern academic and non-academic disciplines, such as cartographic analysis, history, literature, psychoanalysis, anthropology, film, photography, and art studies have been impacted by what has been labeled the "new cultural paradigm". Marxist and feminist philosophy have also influenced other fields. Postmodernism was a critique and reaction to modernism in the Humanities and Social Sciences. Thus, postmodernism is often associated with skepticism, diversity, plurality, and textuality, while modernism was mostly focused on concepts like identity, unity, authority, and certainty. Grand narratives, or metanarratives, for example, are criticized by Jean-François Lyotard because he believes they seek to establish ultimate authority while ignoring all contradictions and instabilities that are inherent in any social structure or activity. Consequently, he is an advocate of "little narratives," or regional occurrences, which don't aim to be globally significant and usually originate from specific instances of power abuse before fizzling out [4].

2.2 Revolutionizing Historical Writing and Textual Interpretation

The way that texts and sources are interpreted has changed as a result of these intellectual advancements, as has the writing of history. Archives have long been the main repository for documents used in social science research. This was particularly so in the case of early professional historians, who specialized in political history and studied the state as the primary subject of inquiry rather than the broader public. The easiest places to obtain documentary material were therefore governmental archives and the official and political leaders' documents. Historical approaches placed a strong emphasis on literary style and rhetorical tactics prior to Leopold von Ranke, who is recognized for founding history as a scientific field. But Ranke and his successors shifted the focus to researching the most effective ways to carry out historical research.

Ranke's dedication to archive study was demonstrated by his labor; for example, in August 1829, when he visited Rome, he stayed away from cafes and theaters in favor of spending late hours reviewing papers [5].

For many historians, nevertheless, literary excellence had become irrelevant by the end of the nineteenth century. In his art, for example, George Von Below avoided using metaphors or stylistic flourishes. Cultural, social, and economic history began to progressively eclipse political history in importance over time. On the other hand, historians' conception of knowledge itself was significantly altered by the new theoretical models that the sciences

of sociology and economics brought to German universities. Before Karl Lamprecht highlighted the potential of interdisciplinarity in history in the early 1890s, the field of history was losing popularity.

From around 1880 to 1930, economic history emerged in Great Britain as a result of various external factors, including the broader socio-economic and political changes of the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Twenty years after Lucien Febvre and Marc Bloc founded the Annales school in 1929 with the goal of writing an integrated "total history" that included geography and the nuances of human mentalities, an effective paradigm emerged that dominated French scholarship. Beginning in the 1960s, the writings of Fernand Braudel and Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie contributed to the Annales School's rise to prominence. Once more, Sigmund Freud's psychohistory as a field of study gained popularity in academic circles in interwar Britain, Europe, and the USA. Lately, conflict studies in India, especially in the northeast, have also benefited from this.

Determining the relevance and significance of current sources must be done in addition to investigating novel and unorthodox ones in order to fully comprehend the complexity of history. This begs the question of how well the voices of oppressed groups—workers, peasants, women, and children—are captured in archival documents. In what way might these records disclose the "unconscious" drives that shape people's behavior? Do archives contain the personal correspondence and journals of common people? Unquestionably, this shift in archival interpretation has expanded the field of historical research and necessitated an interdisciplinary approach as well as frequently novel perspectives on source materials.

Archives are seen as by postmodern historians such as Theodore Schieder as the results of historical processes. Professional historians still rely heavily on archives, but it's important to acknowledge that the evolution of archives has affected the substance and form of historical narratives. Archives, according to Schieder, force historians to be somewhat homogeneous, saying that "this powerful apparatus often has a depressing effect, constraining the spontaneity of each individual historian." Previous generations of administrators and historians have left behind these limitations for their descendants. Understanding archives necessitates knowledge of a society's political, economic, social, and cultural background, according to archival educator Terry Eastwood. He contends that broader philosophical currents are reflected in the dominant conceptions of archives. Thus, archival practices are inextricably linked to the postmodernist intellectual movement that is currently vogue [6].

2.3 Postmodernism and the Re-evaluation of Archival Methods

The way that postmodernism examines the nature of historical and other texts is fundamental to its impact. Jacques Derrida suggests in *Archive Fever: A Freudian Impression* that archives have multiple meanings and compares them to the psychoanalytic process of memory. He compares the home of an archon—an ancient Athenian magistrate in charge of maintaining and interpreting official records—to the archives. Archives, according to Derrida, are in a state of "house arrest" and can never completely take the place of "spontaneous, alive, and internal experience." According to him, the theories of archives and psychoanalysis are similar. He even uses his

own word processing to investigate these issues as he disputes the definition of the "archive proper" and the date of its occurrence.

Derrida also looks into how suppression or repression fits into the new modes of printing and recording. He suggests that taxonomy, classification, and hierarchy are ingrained in archives and can be used as tools for repression. Significant queries concerning memory retrieval, information storage, and the effects of emerging technologies on archival science are raised by this viewpoint. In contrast, Michel Foucault sees the archive as more than just a repository for historical documents. According to him, it is a dynamic and authoritative enunciation system based on historical and sociopolitical power relations. According to Foucault, conventional Western ideas of scientific rationalism and logical positivism are reflected in the arrangement, organization, and classification that are fundamental to archival science. He issues a warning, arguing that the orderly reasoning that underlies the classification of data may lead historians to mistakenly believe they are working with objective information or "truth," while in fact the complete truth may be hidden by this arrangement. In order to uncover the underlying motivations and power structures of the record producers, Foucault claimed that postmodern analysis entailed closely examining language, metaphors, and discourse patterns in context. Not scientific positivism, but contextual social philosophy is the foundation of archives according to Foucault. Moreover, Jacques Le Goff notes that documents are molded by the power structures of both past and present cultures rather than being objective or innocent raw material. He underlines how the production and management of memory via written records result in the management of history and, eventually, of power. Feminist scholars like Gerda Lerner argue that the archival process has historically been patriarchal, systematically marginalizing women from its early stages and continuing well into modern times. Postmodernism thus challenges traditional views on the origins, nature, and categorization of documents and their role in archival preservation [7][8].

3. Conclusion

Postmodernism has profoundly transformed archival studies by emphasizing the contextual and dynamic nature of historical records. This shift has redefined archives from static repositories serving only the state to institutions that engage with and reflect diverse historical perspectives. As archival practices evolve, the challenge lies in making archives more accessible through digitization and promoting their role in preserving collective memory. Archives are crucial for understanding and documenting history, and their primary function is to serve society at large, beyond just state interests.

4. References

1. Stuart Sim, Irony and Crisis: A Critical History of Postmodern Culture, Totem Books, USA, 2002.
2. Choudhury A. H, "Archives -- Its Importance", Bhuyan H.N (Eds)," Souvenir, Archives Week Celebrations, Assam State Archives, 1995, Guwahati.
3. Thiagarajan. J," Archives Keeping" Prabha Publications, Madurai, 2007.
4. Peter Lambert et.al. (Eds.), Making History: An introduction to the history and practices of a discipline, Routledge, New York, 2008
5. Terry Cook, Archival Science and Postmodernism: New Formulations for Old Concepts, The American Archivist© 1996 Society of American Archivists.
6. Opcit , Peter.
7. Sonowal. D (Eds), "Archivum, Archives Week celebration", 2011, Government of Assam, Guwahati.
8. Sonowal. D (Eds), "Archivum, Archives Week celebration", 2012, Government of Assam, Guwahati.

