



# Air Pollution Prediction Using Lstm Deep Learning And Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm

Yash Sheth , Asst.Prof. Nimesh Vaidya, Dr. Vijaykumar B Gadhavi

<sup>1</sup> PG Scholar – Faculty of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department Swaminarayan University, India,

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor & HOD - Faculty of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department Swaminarayan University, India, <sup>3</sup> Associate Professor & Dean –Faculty of Engineering(I/C), Computer Engineering

Department Swaminarayan University, India

– Faculty of Engineering, Computer Engineering Department Swaminarayan University, Kalol, India

## Abstract:

Accurate forecasting of air pollution, especially fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), is crucial for protecting public health and guiding environmental policies. Traditional statistical models often struggle to capture the complex nonlinear and temporal patterns inherent in air quality data. This study introduces a hybrid model that integrates Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) deep learning networks with the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm to enhance the prediction accuracy of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. LSTM networks are well-suited for modeling sequential time-series data due to their ability to retain long-term dependencies, while PSO efficiently optimizes hyperparameters to improve model performance. The proposed LSTM-PSO model was evaluated using extensive real-world air quality datasets collected from major urban centers over multiple years. Results demonstrate that the hybrid model significantly outperforms standalone LSTM and traditional machine learning approaches, achieving lower Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Mean Absolute Error (MAE). Moreover, the integration of PSO not only improved prediction accuracy but also accelerated the convergence speed of the LSTM training process. These findings highlight the effectiveness of combining deep learning with metaheuristic optimization algorithms for robust and efficient air quality forecasting, offering valuable insights for environmental monitoring and public health management.

**Keywords:** Air Pollution, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, LSTM, Particle Swarm Optimization, Deep Learning, Time-Series Forecasting

## I. INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is a significant global challenge, particularly in urban environments, where the concentration of fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) poses severe health risks. PM<sub>2.5</sub> is associated with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, premature mortality, and a reduction in overall life quality. As urbanization and

industrial activities continue to grow, the demand for accurate and timely air quality forecasting has become increasingly important for policymakers, healthcare professionals, and the general public.

Traditional statistical models such as ARIMA and linear regression have been widely used for air pollution prediction. However, these models often fail to capture the nonlinear relationships and temporal dependencies present in environmental time-series data. In recent years, deep learning approaches, especially Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, have shown significant promise in modeling sequential data due to their ability to retain information over long periods.

Despite their advantages, LSTM models are sensitive to hyperparameter selection, including learning rate, number of neurons, and batch size. Manual tuning of these parameters can be inefficient and may not yield optimal results, especially when dealing with large and complex datasets. To address this issue, metaheuristic algorithms like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) have been increasingly adopted for hyperparameter optimization in machine learning. PSO is inspired by the social behavior of bird flocks and efficiently explores the search space to identify optimal parameter combinations.

This paper presents a hybrid LSTM-PSO model for predicting PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. The model is evaluated using real-world air quality datasets from major urban centers, and its performance is compared with standalone LSTM and traditional machine learning models. The results demonstrate the superiority of the LSTM-PSO model in terms of prediction accuracy and training efficiency, highlighting its potential for robust and scalable air quality forecasting.

## II. METHODOLOGY

### A. Data Collection and Preprocessing

The study utilized publicly available air quality datasets from national monitoring agencies and the UCI Machine Learning Repository, focusing on hourly PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and relevant meteorological variables such as temperature, humidity, and wind speed. Data spanning several years from multiple urban centers were aggregated. Missing values were addressed using linear interpolation, and outliers were removed using the interquartile range method. All features were normalized using Min-Max scaling to facilitate model convergence.

### B. LSTM Network Design

The core predictive model was an LSTM neural network, chosen for its ability to model long-term dependencies in time-series data. The network architecture included an input layer representing a fixed-length window of historical data, one or more LSTM layers, a dropout layer to mitigate overfitting, and a dense output layer for regression. Key hyperparameters such as the number of LSTM units, dropout rate, learning rate, and batch size were subject to optimization.

### C. Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for Hyperparameter Tuning

PSO was employed to automate the selection of optimal LSTM hyperparameters. Each particle in the swarm represented a candidate set of hyperparameters. The swarm explored the search space, updating positions based on individual and global best solutions, with the fitness function defined as the validation RMSE of the LSTM model. PSO iterations continued until convergence, yielding the optimal hyperparameter configuration.

### D. Model Training and Evaluation

The LSTM-PSO model was trained using an 80/20 train-test split. Early stopping and model checkpointing were used to prevent overfitting. Model performance was evaluated using RMSE and MAE metrics, and results were compared with standalone LSTM and traditional machine learning models such as ARIMA and Random Forest. All experiments were conducted in Python using TensorFlow and PySwarms libraries.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed LSTM-PSO model demonstrated superior performance compared to standalone LSTM and traditional machine learning models. The hybrid model achieved lower RMSE and MAE values, indicating higher prediction accuracy and robustness. The integration of PSO for hyperparameter optimization not only improved model performance but also reduced the convergence time during training. These results suggest that the LSTM-PSO approach is effective for air pollution prediction and can be adapted for other time-series forecasting tasks in environmental science.

## IV. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that integrating LSTM deep learning networks with Particle Swarm Optimization significantly enhances the accuracy and efficiency of PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution forecasting. The hybrid LSTM-PSO model outperformed both standalone LSTM and traditional machine learning models, achieving lower RMSE and MAE values while converging more rapidly during training. By automating hyperparameter selection, PSO not only improved model performance but also reduced the manual effort and computational resources required for model development. These results underscore the value of combining deep learning with metaheuristic optimization for robust environmental monitoring. Future work should explore the integration of additional data sources, such as satellite imagery and traffic data, and the application of alternative optimization algorithms to further improve predictive performance. The findings offer practical guidance for the deployment of advanced air quality forecasting systems, supporting more informed public health interventions and urban planning strategies.

## REFERENCES:

1. Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8), 1735–1780. <https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.8.1735>
2. Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks* (Vol. 4, pp. 1942–1948). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>
3. World Health Organization. (2021). *Ambient (outdoor) air pollution*. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-\(outdoor\)-air-quality-and-health](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health)
4. Zhang, Y., Ding, Y., Tang, J., & Wang, S. (2019). PM2.5 forecasting using a deep learning model based on 1D convolutional and bidirectional LSTM. *Atmosphere*, 10(8), 439. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10080439>
5. Zheng, Y., Liu, F., & Hsieh, H. P. (2015). U-Air: When urban air quality inference meets big data. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining* (pp. 1436–1445). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2783258.2788626>
6. Li, X., Peng, L., Hu, Y., Shao, J., & Chi, T. (2017). Deep learning architecture for air quality predictions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(22), 22453–22461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9867-6>
7. Wang, J., Song, G., Chen, Y., & Li, Y. (2020). Air quality prediction using hybrid LSTM and improved particle swarm optimization. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 246, 119019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119019>
8. Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Li, Z., & Wang, Y. (2021). A hybrid deep learning model for forecasting air pollution. *Science of the Total Environment*, 757, 143761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143761>
9. Qi, Y., Li, Q., Karimian, H., & Liu, D. (2019). A hybrid model for spatiotemporal forecasting of PM2.5 based on ConvLSTM and XGBoost. *Atmospheric Environment*, 203, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.01.027>
10. Li, J., Sun, L., & Wang, Y. (2020). Air quality prediction using LSTM and PSO. *IEEE Access*, 8, 209398–209407. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3038700>